

A Spectral Glottal Flow Model for the Source-Filter Separation of Speech



PERROTIN Olivier, GIPSA-lab, *olivier.perrotin@gipsa-lab.fr* **MCLOUGHLIN Ian**, University of Kent, *I.V.McLouglin@kent.ac.uk*

Context

- Estimation of glottis signal from speech is still an unsolved problem [1]
- Issue of modelling the wideband characteristics of the glottal flow: variations of glottal formant and spectral tilt
- Demonstration and evaluation of the Glottal Flow Model based Iterative Adaptive Inverse Filtering (GFM-IAIF) method

A spectral glottal flow model — -IAIF-based algorithm

4. Remove estimated Description of the glottal source signal in the frequency Gross estimation **Remove estimated Remove estimated** 2. vocal tract (VT) of glottis spectral glottis contribution glottis contribution domain as a **third order filter** [2]

Vibration of vocal folds	Spectral correlate
Open phase	Glottal formant
Duration / Asymmetry	Position (F_g) / Bandwidth (B_g)
Closing phase	Spectral tilt
Abruptness	Cutting Frequency (F _{ST})



Spectral measurements

- *First-to-second harmonic ratio* (H1H2)
- Harmonic Richness Factor (HRF) Sum of 2nd to nth harmonics (in dB) over the 1st Spectral Tilt (ST) Linear regression of the nth first harmonics on a log-log scale

envelope Gross estimation of Fine estimation of Fine estimation of VT spec. envelope glottis spec. envelope VT spec. envelope (2) Gross VT estimation (4) Fine VT estimation (1) Gross glottis estimation (3) Fine glottis estimation



-Evaluation

Corpus

- Synthetic speech: LF waveform + formant filtering 30 voice qualities (R_d parametrisation) x 29 pitch levels x 10 vowels
- Natural speech: vowels extracted from diphones [5] 3 voice qualities (Soft, Medium, Loud) x 2 speakers (male and female) x 12 vowels

Methods

Comparison of IAIF [3], IOP-IAIF [4], and GFM-IAIF

Analysis

- Extraction of H1H2, HRF, ST, from estimated glottis signals
- Synthetic speech: comparison with extracted parameters from ground truth
- Natural speech: discriminative power of extracted parameters to represent variation in voice quality (Wilcoxon test effect size)

Results

- Consistent variations between synthetic and natural speech
- H1H2 (glottal formant): similar performances



- HRF and ST (high frequency):
- GFM-IAIF closest to ground truth and more discriminative parameters
- IOP-IAIF and IAIF tend to attribute too much and not enough spectral tilt, respectively

-Conclusion

- New source-filter separation method called **GFM-IAIF**
- Third order modelling of the glottal flow spectral envelope: simple parametrisation
- Better estimate of spectral tilt compared to other IAIF-based methods

References

- [1] T. Drugman, P. Alku, A. Alwan, and B. Yegnanarayana (2014), "Glottal source processing: From analysis to applications," Computer Speech & Language, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 1117–1138.
- [2] B. Doval, C. d'Alessandro, and N. Henrich (2006), "The spectrum of glottal flow models," Acta *Acustica*, vol. 92, no. 6, pp. 1026–1046.
- [3] P. Alku (1992), "Glottal wave analysis with pitch synchronous iterative adaptive inverse filtering," Speech Comm., vol. 11, no. 2–3, pp. 109–118.
- [4] P. Mokhtari, B. Story, P. Alku, and H. Ando (2018), "Estimation of the glottal flow from speech pressure signals: Evaluation of three variants of iterative adaptive inverse filtering using computational physical modelling of voice production," Speech Comm., vol. 104, pp. 24–38. [5] http://github.com/numediart/MBROLA-voices/ — de6 and de7 databases

Grenoble Images Parole Signal Automatique UMR CNRS 5216 – Grenoble Campus 38400 Saint Martin d'Hères - FRANCE



UNIVERSITÉ Grenoble Alpes