

Global asymptotic stabilization of systems satisfying two different sector conditions

Vincent Andrieu^{a,b,*}, Christophe Prieur^c, Sophie Tarbouriech^{d,e}, Denis Arzelier^{d,e}

^a Université de Lyon, F-69622, Lyon, France

^b Université Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, CNRS, UMR 5007, LAGEP, France

^c Gipsa-lab, Department of Automatic Control, 961 rue de la Houille Blanche, BP 46, 38402 Grenoble, France

^d CNRS, LAAS, 7 avenue du Colonel Roche, F-31077 Toulouse, France

^e Université de Toulouse, UPS, INSA, INP, ISAE, LAAS, F-31077 Toulouse, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 September 2010

Received in revised form

15 March 2011

Accepted 21 April 2011

Available online 12 June 2011

Keywords:

Stabilization

Blending controllers

Sector nonlinearities

Local and non-local controllers

ABSTRACT

Global asymptotic stabilization for a class of nonlinear systems is addressed. The dynamics of these systems are composed of a linear part to which is added some nonlinearities which satisfy two different sector bound conditions depending on whether the state is near or far from the origin. The proposed approach is based on uniting control Lyapunov functions. In this framework, the stabilization problem may be recast as an LMI optimization problem for which powerful semidefinite programming softwares exist. This is illustrated by means of three numerical examples.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There is an extensive literature on the design of nonlinear stabilizers providing numerous techniques applicable to specific classes of nonlinear systems. The class of systems considered is the one described by a linear system with an additive memoryless sector-bounded nonlinearity. The nonlinearities under interest in this paper are those satisfying two different sector bound conditions depending on the norm of the state. This class of nonlinearity includes many different memoryless functions (see e.g., [1, Chapter 6] for an introduction on this topic) such as saturations (see e.g. [2–4] for design techniques of control system with such nonlinearities). Contrary to what has been done in these papers, two different sector conditions are considered to characterize the nonlinear functions: one sector condition when the state is near the equilibrium and one other sector condition when the state is far from the equilibrium. This distinction between small and large values of the distance from the state to the equilibrium allows us to better describe the nonlinear system. Moreover, we remark that encompassing both sector conditions into one global sector condition may lead to a too conservative

synthesis problem which may not have a solution (see the example of Section 5.2 below).

This motivates us to separately consider the local sector condition and the non-local one. In our approach, we design successively:

1. a local stabilizer with a basin of attraction containing a compact set;
2. a non-local controller such that the previous compact set is globally attractive.

In a second step, in order to design a continuous global stabilizer, the local and non-local controllers are merged into one unique and global controller. Different techniques exist to unit a couple of different feedback laws. For instance, provided that the use of discontinuous controllers is allowed, hybrid controllers may be employed to unit them (see [5–8]). In this paper, we apply the technique introduced in [9] where a continuous solution to the uniting problem is given through the construction of a uniting control Lyapunov function.¹

More precisely, in [9] some sufficient conditions are given to provide a global stabilizer from a local and a non-local control Lyapunov function.² In the following, we rewrite these sufficient conditions in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) which, if solved, allow to design a global stabilizer for the control of systems satisfying two different sector conditions.

* Corresponding author at: Université de Lyon, F-69622, Lyon, France. Tel.: +33 472431880.

E-mail addresses: vincent.andrieu@gmail.com (V. Andrieu), christophe.prieur@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr (C. Prieur), tarbour@laas.fr (S. Tarbouriech), arzelier@laas.fr (D. Arzelier).

URL: <https://sites.google.com/site/vincentandrieu/> (V. Andrieu).

¹ See [10] for a definition of CLF.

² See [9] for definitions of local and non-local CLFs.

To be more precise, consider the system defined by its state-space equation:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + G\phi(x) \quad (1)$$

where the state vector x is in \mathbb{R}^n . (A, B, G) are matrices respectively in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$. Moreover u in \mathbb{R}^m is the control input and $\phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ is a nonlinear locally Lipschitz function such that $\phi(0) = 0$.

One way to design a global stabilizer for system (1) is to use circle and Popov criteria (see [11]) under the assumption that the nonlinear function ϕ satisfies some sector bound conditions of the following type³:

$$(\phi(x) - Mx)'(\phi(x) - Nx) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2)$$

where M and N are two given matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$. Following⁴ [14,15], a constructive LMI condition allowing to design a state feedback control law solving the stabilizing problem may be exhibited.

The aim of this paper is to study the case in which the function ϕ satisfies two different sector conditions depending on the norm of x . The idea of the design is then to apply techniques inspired by Andrieu and Prieur [9] to unit local and non-local controllers and to provide a global stabilizer.

Assumptions on the nonlinear function ϕ introduced in (1) can be given as follows:

Assumption 1 (Local Sector Condition). There exist a positive real number v_0 , two matrices (M_0, N_0) in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ such that, for all $|x| \leq v_0$, we have:

$$(\phi(x) - M_0 x)'(\phi(x) - N_0 x) \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

Assumption 2 (Non-local Sector Condition). There exist a positive real number $v_\infty < v_0$, two matrices (M_∞, N_∞) in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ and two vectors (R_∞, Q_∞) in $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^p$ such that, for all $|x| \geq v_\infty$, we have:

$$(\phi(x) - M_\infty x - R_\infty)'(\phi(x) - N_\infty x - Q_\infty) \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

Systems satisfying both Assumptions 1 and 2 are of interest since local and non-local approximations of nonlinear global dynamics may be found in the literature. For instance, in [16], local and non-local homogeneous approximations of nonlinear systems are studied. Moreover, as shown in the example introduced in Section 5.2 below, it might be useful to split a global sector condition in two pieces (a local and a non-local one) in order to get a solution where the usual LMI-based sufficient conditions obtained from [14,15] are too conservative. Compared to the preliminary version of this paper presented in [17], two new vectors are involved in the definition of the non-local sector condition (i.e. R_∞ and Q_∞). This allows to extend the class of considered systems. Note that an illustration of a function satisfying two different sector conditions can be found in Fig. 1.

In this paper, the following problem is addressed:

Problem. Under Assumptions 1 and 2, is it possible to design a nonlinear control law $u = \alpha(x)$ where $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a continuous function ensuring global asymptotic stabilization of the origin for the system (1)?

³ Note that other classes of sector conditions are possible. In particular we may consider the generalized sector conditions written as

$$(\phi(x) - Mx)'D(\phi(x) - Nx) \leq 0,$$

where D is any given diagonal positive definite matrix (as in [12,13]). Despite the fact that considering such generalized sector conditions is possible, we restrict our attention to sector bound condition as (2) to ease the exposition of our results.

⁴ Note that in [14] is addressed a more involved problem since some saturations on the input are considered.




Fig. 1. Illustration of a nonlinear function satisfying two different sector conditions.

Before considering this global stabilization problem, each sector is considered separately in Section 2. Indeed, a local (resp. a non-local) controller $u = \alpha_0(x)$ (resp. $u = \alpha_\infty(x)$) is synthesized by employing the local (resp. non-local) sector condition of Assumption 1 (resp. of Assumption 2). After this preliminary step, a new controller, which is equal to the local controller $u = \alpha_0(x)$ on a neighborhood of the origin and equal to the non-local controller $u = \alpha_\infty(x)$ outside a compact set, is designed. This construction is based on [9] and is considered in Section 3. We then formalize a sufficient condition, expressed in terms of the existence of solutions to LMIs constraints, allowing us to address the global stabilization problem in one step in Section 4. Three numerical examples illustrate the previous results in Section 5. Section 6 contains some concluding remarks.

Notation. The Euclidean norm is denoted by $|\cdot|$. For a positive real number n , I_n (resp. $0_{n,m}$) denotes the identity matrix (resp. the null matrix) in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (resp. in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$). The subscripts may be omitted when there is no ambiguity. Moreover, for a vector x the diagonal matrix defined by the entries of x is denoted $\text{Diag}(x)$ while for two (or more) matrices A, B , $\text{Diag}[A, B]$ is the block diagonal matrix formed by A and B . For a matrix M , $\text{He}(M) = M + M'$. Finally, for each integer q , $\mathbb{1}_q$ denotes the vector in \mathbb{R}^q defined by $\mathbb{1}_q = [1 \ \dots \ 1]'$. For any symmetric matrix, \star stands for a symmetric term.

2. Design of local and of non-local controllers

2.1. Local case

In this section, we consider Assumption 1 and we design a state feedback ensuring local asymptotic stabilization of the origin for the system (1). Note that if we introduce:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= A + GM_0, & \phi_0(x) &= \phi(x) - M_0x, \\ S_0 &= N_0 - M_0, \end{aligned}$$

system (1) can be rewritten as:

$$\dot{x} = cA_0 x + Bu + G\phi_0(x), \quad (5)$$

and the local sector condition becomes

$$\phi_0(x)'(\phi_0(x) - S_0x) \leq 0, \quad \forall |x| \leq v_0. \quad (6)$$

Hence, inspired by Castelan et al. [14] and Montagner et al. [15], we can state a sufficient condition to get local asymptotic stabilization of the origin:

Proposition 2.1. Suppose Assumption 1 is satisfied (hence (6) holds). If there exist a symmetric positive definite matrix W_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, two

matrices H_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and J_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ and a positive real number η_0 satisfying the following inequality:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(A_0 W_0 + B H_0) & \star \\ J_0' B' + \eta_0 G' + S_0 W_0 & -2\eta_0 I_p \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (7)$$

then the control law $u = \alpha_0(x)$ where

$$\alpha_0(x) = K_0 x + \eta_0^{-1} J_0 \phi_0(x), \quad (8)$$

with $K_0 = H_0 W_0^{-1}$ makes the origin of the system a locally asymptotically stable equilibrium, with the basin of attraction containing the set

$$\mathcal{E}(W_0^{-1}, R_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x' W_0^{-1} x \leq R_0\}$$

where R_0 is any positive real number satisfying

$$R_0 W_0 - v_0^2 I_n \leq 0. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Consider the Lyapunov function candidate $V_0(x) = x' P_0 x$ where $P_0 = W_0^{-1}$. The satisfaction of relation (9) means that the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x' P_0 x \leq R_0\}$ is included in the ellipsoidal set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| \leq v_0\}$. Thus, the sector condition (3) is satisfied for any $x \in \mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0)$.

The time-derivative of V_0 along the trajectories of the system (5) with the control law (8) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_0(x) &= x' [(A_0 + B K_0)' P_0 + P_0 (A_0 + B K_0)] x \\ &\quad + 2x' P_0 (B \eta_0^{-1} J_0 + G) \phi_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the sector condition (3) and with $\eta_0 > 0$, it yields for all $x \in \mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0)$:

$$\dot{V}_0(x) \leq \dot{V}_0(x) - 2\eta_0^{-1} \phi_0(x)' (\phi_0(x) - S_0 x).$$

Hence, this implies, for all $x \in \mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0)$, that:

$$\dot{V}_0(x) \leq \begin{bmatrix} x' & \phi_0(x)' \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_0 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \phi_0(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+p) \times (n+p)}$ is defined by:

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(P_0(A_0 + B K_0)) & \star \\ (\eta_0^{-1} J_0' B + G') P_0 + \eta_0^{-1} S_0 & -2\eta_0^{-1} I_p \end{bmatrix}.$$

By pre- and post-multiplying relation (7) by $\text{Diag}[W_0^{-1}, \eta_0 I_p] = \text{Diag}[P_0, \eta_0 I_p]$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_0 < 0$. Hence, if relation (7) is satisfied, one can conclude that $\dot{V}_0(x) < 0$, for any $x \in \mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0)$, $x \neq 0$. It follows that the origin of the system (5) closed by the control law (8) is locally asymptotically stable, and the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0)$ is included in the basin of attraction of the origin. \square

Note that the sufficient condition given by Proposition 2.1 is given in terms of solutions to linear matrix inequalities for which some powerful LMI solvers (see [18] for instance) may be used as illustrated by the numerical examples given in Section 5. The feasibility of the LMI condition (7) depends upon the matrices A_0 , B , G , S_0 and is independent of the radius v_0 defining the local sector condition. Once W_0 has been computed through the solution of (7), v_0 affects the size of the basin of attraction via the radius R_0 of the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}(W_0^{-1}, R_0)$ obtained in (9).

2.2. Non-local case

A result similar to Proposition 2.1 can be obtained when considering Assumption 2. Indeed, with:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty &= A + G M_\infty, & \phi_\infty(z) &= \phi(x) - M_\infty x - R_\infty, \\ S_\infty &= N_\infty - M_\infty, & T_\infty &= R_\infty - Q_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

system (1) becomes:

$$\dot{x} = A_\infty x + B u + G \phi_\infty(x) + G R_\infty, \quad (10)$$

and the non-local sector condition (i.e. inequality (4)) yields:

$$\phi_\infty(x)' (\phi_\infty(x) - S_\infty x - T_\infty) \leq 0, \quad \forall |x| \geq v_\infty. \quad (11)$$

With these data, a sufficient condition to get global asymptotic stabilization of a set containing the origin can be stated:

Proposition 2.2. Suppose Assumption 2 is satisfied (hence (11) holds). If there exist a symmetric positive definite matrix W_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, two matrices H_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and J_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, two vectors L_∞ and Z_m in \mathbb{R}^m and two positive real numbers η_∞ and τ_∞ satisfying the matrix inequality:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(A_\infty W_\infty + B H_\infty) & \star & \star & \star \\ (B J_\infty + \eta_\infty G)' + S_\infty W_\infty & -2\eta_\infty I_p & \star & \star \\ \text{Diag}(L_\infty)' + Z_m R_\infty' G' & Z_m T_\infty' & -\tau_\infty \frac{v_\infty^2}{m} I_m & \star \\ W_\infty & 0_{n,p} & 0_{n,m} & -\tau_\infty I_n \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (12)$$

and the equality

$$Z_m' \mathbb{1}_m = \tau_\infty \quad (13)$$

then the control law $u = \alpha_\infty(x)$ where

$$\alpha_\infty(x) = K_\infty x + \eta_\infty^{-1} J_\infty \phi_\infty(x) + \tau_\infty^{-1} L_\infty, \quad (14)$$

with $K_\infty = H_\infty W_\infty^{-1}$ makes the solutions of the closed-loop system complete and the set

$$\mathcal{E}(W_\infty^{-1}, r_\infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x' W_\infty^{-1} x \leq r_\infty\},$$

globally and asymptotically stable where r_∞ is any positive real number such that

$$v_\infty^2 I_n - W_\infty r_\infty \leq 0. \quad (15)$$

Proof. The Lyapunov function candidate is defined as $V_\infty(x) = x' P_\infty x$, where $P_\infty = W_\infty^{-1}$ which is symmetric positive definite. The time-derivative \dot{V}_∞ along the trajectories of the system (5) with the control law (14) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_\infty &= x' [\text{He}(P_\infty A_\infty + P_\infty B K_\infty)] x \\ &\quad + 2\phi_\infty(x)' (B \eta_\infty^{-1} J_\infty + G)' P_\infty x \\ &\quad + 2(\tau_\infty^{-1} B L_\infty + G R_\infty)' P_\infty x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the non-local sector condition (3), it follows, for all x such that $|x| \geq v_\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_\infty &\leq x' [\text{He}(P_\infty A_\infty + P_\infty B K_\infty)] x \\ &\quad + 2\phi_\infty(x)' (B \eta_\infty^{-1} J_\infty + G)' P_\infty x \\ &\quad + 2\tau_\infty^{-1} (B L_\infty + \tau_\infty G R_\infty)' P_\infty x \\ &\quad - 2\eta_\infty^{-1} \phi_\infty(x)' (\phi_\infty(x) - S_\infty x - T_\infty) - \tau_\infty^{-1} (v_\infty^2 - x' x). \end{aligned}$$

With the equality constraint (13) it yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_\infty &\leq x' [\text{He}(P_\infty A_\infty + P_\infty B K_\infty)] x \\ &\quad + 2\phi_\infty(x)' (\eta_\infty^{-1} B J_\infty + G)' P_\infty x \\ &\quad + 2\tau_\infty^{-1} (B \text{Diag}(L_\infty) \mathbb{1}_m + G R_\infty' Z_m' \mathbb{1}_m)' P_\infty x \\ &\quad - 2\eta_\infty^{-1} \phi_\infty(x)' (\phi_\infty(x) - S_\infty x - \tau_\infty^{-1} T_\infty Z_m' \mathbb{1}_m) \\ &\quad - \tau_\infty^{-1} \left(\mathbb{1}_m' \mathbb{1}_m \frac{v_\infty^2}{m} - x' x \right). \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten in matrix form as,

$$\dot{V}_\infty \leq \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \phi_\infty \\ \mathbb{1}_m \end{bmatrix}' \mathcal{M}_\infty \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \phi_\infty \\ \mathbb{1}_m \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$




Fig. 2. Illustration of the covering assumption for numerical example 1.

where

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(P_\infty A_\infty + P_\infty B K_\infty) + \tau_\infty^{-1} I_n & \star & \star \\ (\eta_\infty^{-1} B J_\infty + G') P_\infty + \eta_\infty^{-1} S_\infty & -2\eta_\infty^{-1} I_p & \star \\ \tau_\infty^{-1} (\text{Diag}(L_\infty) B' + Z_m R'_\infty G') P_\infty & \eta_\infty^{-1} \tau_\infty^{-1} Z_m T'_\infty & -\tau_\infty^{-1} \frac{v_\infty^2}{m} I_m \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\infty$ obtained by pre- and post-multiplying \mathcal{M}_∞ by $\text{Diag}[W_\infty, \eta_\infty I_p, \tau_\infty I_m]$ where $W_\infty = P_\infty^{-1}$, is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(A_\infty W_\infty + B K_\infty) + \tau_\infty^{-1} W_\infty^2 & \star & \star \\ (B J_\infty + \eta_\infty G')' + S_\infty W_\infty & -2\eta_\infty I_p & \star \\ \text{Diag}(L_\infty) B' + Z_m R'_\infty G' & Z_m T'_\infty & -\tau_\infty \frac{v_\infty^2}{m} I_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Applying Schur's complement to inequality (12) yields that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\infty < 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_\infty < 0$ and with (16), this implies that $\dot{V}_\infty < 0$ along the trajectories of (10) as long as the trajectories remain in the set $\{x, |x| \geq v_\infty\}$. This implies completeness of the trajectories of system (1) closed by the control law (14). Moreover, inequality (15) yields:

$$r_\infty |x|^2 \geq v_\infty^2 x' P_\infty x, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Consequently, the set $\{x, |x| \geq v_\infty\}$ contains the set $\mathcal{E}(P_\infty, r_\infty)$. Therefore:

$$\dot{V}_\infty(x) < 0, \quad \forall x \text{ such that } V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty,$$

and the set $\mathcal{E}(P_\infty, r_\infty)$ is globally asymptotically stable. \square

3. Design of a globally and asymptotically stabilizing controller

In this section, it is assumed that the local stabilization problem and the non-local one have been solved following Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. Hence, the controllers α_0 and α_∞ defined by (8) and (14) respectively and the Control Lyapunov Functions (CLF) $x \mapsto x' P_0 x$ and $x \mapsto x' P_\infty x$ are available. The problem is to unit these two controllers to get a controller making the origin a global and asymptotic stable equilibrium.

To solve this problem, the uniting strategy introduced in [9] is employed. Following this procedure, the first step is to unit the local CLF $x \mapsto x' P_0 x$ and the non-local one $x \mapsto x' P_\infty x$. The first requirement is that the two sets, in which we have a stability property, overlap.

Assumption 3 (Covering Assumption). There exist two positive real numbers R_0 and r_∞ such that (9) and (15) are satisfied and such that $r_\infty P_0 - R_0 P_\infty < 0$. (17)

In Fig. 2, an illustration of the covering Assumption is presented (using the numerical values of Section 5.1). This assumption

implies that we have the following inclusions:

$$\{x, |x| \leq v_\infty\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(P_\infty, r_\infty) \subset \mathcal{E}(P_0, R_0) \subseteq \{x, |x| \leq v_0\}.$$

To get a global stabilizing control law, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.1. Assume that Assumptions 1–3 hold. If there exist two matrices K_m in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and J_m in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$, five vectors $L_m, Z_{1,1}, Z_{1,2}, Z_{2,1}$, and $Z_{2,2}$ in \mathbb{R}^m and eight positive real numbers $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ such that the following LMI are satisfied:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(P_0 [A + B K_m]) - v_1 P_0 + v_2 P_\infty & \star & \star \\ (J_m B' + G') P_0 & 0_{p \times p} & \star \\ \text{Diag}(L_m)' B' P_0 & 0_{m,p} & \frac{v_1 R_0 - v_2 r_\infty}{m} I_m \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(P_\infty [A + B K_m]) + v_4 P_\infty - v_3 P_0 & \star & \star \\ (J_m B' + G') P_\infty & 0_{p,p} & \star \\ \text{Diag}(L_m)' B' P_\infty & 0_{m,p} & \frac{v_3 R_0 - v_4 r_\infty}{m} I_m \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (19)$$

where

$$Q_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(M'_0 N_0) & \star & \star \\ -(M_0 + N_0) & 2I_p & \star \\ 0_{m,n} & 0_{m,p} & 0_{m,m} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_{\infty,1} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \text{He}(M'_\infty N_\infty) & \star & \star \\ -\theta_1 (M_\infty + N_\infty) & 2\theta_1 I_p & \star \\ Z_{1,1} (R'_\infty N_\infty + Q'_\infty M_\infty) & -Z_{1,2} (Q'_\infty + R'_\infty) & \frac{2\theta_1}{m} R'_\infty Q_\infty I_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

$$Q_{\infty,2} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \text{He}(M'_\infty N_\infty) & \star & \star \\ -\theta_2 (M_\infty + N_\infty) & 2\theta_2 I_p & \star \\ Z_{2,1} (R'_\infty N_\infty + Q'_\infty M_\infty) & -Z_{2,2} (Q'_\infty + R'_\infty) & \frac{2\theta_2}{m} R'_\infty Q_\infty I_m \end{bmatrix},$$

with the equality constraint

$$Z'_{1,1} \mathbb{1}_m = Z'_{1,2} \mathbb{1}_m = \theta_1, \quad Z'_{2,1} \mathbb{1}_m = Z'_{2,2} \mathbb{1}_m = \theta_2. \quad (21)$$

then there exists a continuous function $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the control law $u = \alpha(x)$ makes the origin a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium for system (1).

Before proving this result, it has to be noticed that this result is not only an existence result but also a design procedure of a stabilizing controller. Indeed, a possible control law ensuring a global asymptotic stabilization of the origin of system (1) is given in [9, Theorem 3.1] and is expressed as:

$$\alpha(x) = \mathcal{H}(x) - k c(x) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x) B \right)' \quad (22)$$

with \mathcal{H} a continuous function such that

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_0(x) & \text{if } V_\infty(x) \leq r_\infty \\ \alpha_\infty(x) & \text{if } V_0(x) \geq R_0. \end{cases}$$

The function c is any continuous function such that

$$c(x) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } V_0(x) \geq R_0 \text{ or } V_\infty(x) \leq r_\infty \\ > 0 & \text{if } V_0(x) < R_0 \text{ and } V_\infty(x) > r_\infty. \end{cases}$$

k is a sufficiently large positive real number and finally V is a global CLF for system (1) obtained following the procedure introduced in [9, Theorem 2.1], which enables to unit both CLFs V_0 and V_∞ . Moreover, by solving this uniting problem, we get the existence of a neighborhood of the origin (namely the set $\{x, V_\infty(x) \leq r_\infty\}$) such that all solutions of the closed-loop system with the control law α expressed by (22) enter this neighborhood in finite time and are such that, hereafter, along these solutions, the Lyapunov function

V_0 is decreasing exponentially fast. Thus, by applying [Theorem 3.1](#), the origin of the closed-loop system with the control law α is globally exponentially stable.

Proof. To prove [Theorem 3.1](#), we apply the procedure introduced in [\[9, Theorem 2.1\]](#) that is based on uniting a local and a non-local control Lyapunov function.

First, we introduce both functions $V_0(x) = x'P_0x$ and $V_\infty(x) = x'P_\infty x$. Note that with [Assumption 3](#), we get that both functions V_0 and V_∞ and both positive real numbers R_0 and r_∞ satisfy [\[9, Assumption 1\]](#). More precisely, P_0 and P_∞ being solutions of [Propositions 2.1](#) and [2.2](#), we get that the function V_0 satisfies, for all x in $\{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0\}$,

$$\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial x}(x)[Ax + B\alpha_0(x) + G\phi(Lx)] < 0,$$

and V_∞ satisfies, for all x in $\{x, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}$,

$$\frac{\partial V_\infty}{\partial x}(x)[Ax + B\alpha_\infty(x) + G\phi(Lx)] < 0.$$

Finally, with the covering assumption, the functions V_0 and V_∞ satisfy

$$\{x, V_\infty(x) > r_\infty\} \cup \{x, V_0(x) < R_0\} = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

and the set $\{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0\} \cup \{x, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}$ is a non empty compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Moreover, setting $u = K_m x + J_m \phi(x) + L_m$ yields along the trajectories of the system [\(1\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_0(x) &= x' [P_0(A + BK_m) + (A + BK_m)'P_0] x \\ &\quad + 2x'P_0(G + BJ_m)\phi(x) + 2x'P_0B\text{Diag}(L_m)\mathbb{1}_m. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality can be rewritten in matrix form as:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_0(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} x' & \phi(x)' & \mathbb{1}_m' \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(P_0[A + BK_m]) & \star & \star \\ (J_m'B' + G')P_0 & 0 & \star \\ \text{Diag}(L_m)'B'P_0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \phi(x) \\ \mathbb{1}_m \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Note that, for all x such that $\{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0\} \cup \{x, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x' & \phi(x)' & \mathbb{1}_m' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 P_0 - v_2 P_\infty & \star & \star \\ 0 & 0 & \star \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{v_2 r_\infty - v_1 R_0}{m} I_m \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \phi(x) \\ \mathbb{1}_m \end{bmatrix} < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where (v_1, v_2) are the positive real numbers given in [Theorem 3.1](#). Moreover, with [\(9\)](#) and [\(15\)](#) we get that all x in the set $\{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0\} \cup \{x, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}$ are in the set $\{x, v_\infty \leq |x| \leq v_0\}$. Hence, using both inequalities [\(3\)](#) and [\(4\)](#), we get, for all x in the set $\{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0\} \cup \{x, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' & \phi(x)' & \mathbb{1}_m' \end{bmatrix} [\mu_1 Q_0 + Q_{\infty,1}] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \phi(x) \\ \mathbb{1}_m \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (25)$$

where Q_0 and $Q_{\infty,1}$ are given in [\(20\)](#). Consequently, with inequalities [\(23\)](#)–[\(25\)](#) and the property [\(18\)](#), it yields that

$$\dot{V}_0(x) < 0, \quad \forall x \in \{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}.$$

With [\(19\)](#), the same conclusion holds for V_∞ , i.e.:

$$\dot{V}_\infty(x) < 0, \quad \forall x \in \{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}.$$

Consequently, a same control can be designed for both functions V_0 and V_∞ for each x in $\{x, V_0(x) \leq R_0, V_\infty(x) \geq r_\infty\}$. With [\[9, Proposition 2.2\]](#), we get that [\[9, Assumption 2\]](#) is also satisfied.

Consequently, all Assumptions of [\[9, Theorem 3.1\]](#) are satisfied and there exists a control law ensuring global asymptotic stabilization of the origin of system [\(1\)](#). \square

From the previous results, a design strategy to get a stabilizing control law for system [\(1\)](#) may be described by the following algorithm: Design separately a local and a non-local CLF (i.e. $P_0 = W_0^{-1}$ and $P_\infty = W_\infty^{-1}$) via the LMIs [\(7\)](#) and [\(12\)](#), and check if

1. they satisfy the covering Assumption [\(17\)](#) with R_0 and r_∞ satisfying [\(9\)](#) and [\(15\)](#);
2. they satisfy the LMIs feasibility conditions [\(18\)](#) and [\(19\)](#) to be united.

If these two tests are positive then build the stabilizing control law given by [\(22\)](#).

4. Design in one step

In this section, we investigate the possibility of solving the design problem in one shot. In other words, we wish to find an LMI formulation to prove the existence of matrices P_0 and P_∞ satisfying the conditions in items [\(1\)](#) and [\(2\)](#) of the previous algorithm.

4.1. About the covering assumption

[Assumption 3](#) may fail when considering an arbitrary pair of matrices P_0 and P_∞ computed using [Propositions 2.1](#) and [2.2](#).

Moreover, note that inequality [\(17\)](#), combined with [Propositions 2.1](#) and [2.2](#), is not linear in P_0 or P_∞ since R_0 and r_∞ depend on P_0 and P_∞ through the constraints [\(9\)](#) and [\(15\)](#) in which $W_0 = P_0^{-1}$ and $W_\infty = P_\infty^{-1}$.

Nevertheless, note that, when $R_0 = r_\infty = \frac{1}{\rho}$, the covering Assumption can be easily defined as the following LMI:

$$W_0 - W_\infty > 0. \quad (26)$$

Moreover, note that the two matrix inequality constraints [\(9\)](#) and [\(15\)](#) can be recast as the following LMI constraints:

$$\rho v_\infty^2 I_n - W_\infty \leq 0, \quad W_0 - \rho v_0^2 I_n \leq 0. \quad (27)$$

Consequently, this feasibility constraint can be added easily in the design of W_0 and W_∞ (i.e. of P_0 and P_∞). To summarize, we have:

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose there exist two positive definite matrices W_0, W_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and a real number $\rho > 0$ such that inequalities [\(26\)](#) and [\(27\)](#) are satisfied, then the covering assumption (i.e. inequality [\(17\)](#)) is also satisfied with $R_0 = r_\infty = \frac{1}{\rho}$.*

4.2. About the second feasibility constraint

In this section, in order to ease the presentation, it is assumed that the two matrices Q_∞ and R_∞ , which appear in the definition of the non-local sector condition, are equal to zero. However, all the following results could be extended to the more general case.

To include the feasibility constraints [\(18\)](#) and [\(19\)](#) into the design of a global asymptotic stabilizer, we need to consider a specific class of matrices $W_0, H_0, J_0, W_\infty, H_\infty$, and J_∞ solutions of [\(7\)](#), [\(12\)](#), [\(26\)](#) and [\(27\)](#).

To be more precise, we consider the subclass of solutions such that the conditions [\(18\)](#) and [\(19\)](#) are satisfied and read as LMI conditions. Using elimination lemma [\[19\]](#), we get the following result.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(AF + BH_m) & \star & \star \\ -W_0 + F + AF + BH_m & -2W_0 & BJ_m + G - W_0G \\ (M_0 + M_\infty)F + (BJ_m + G)' + AF + BH_m & \star & -4I_p - \text{He}(G'(BJ_m + G)) \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(AF + BH_m) & \star & \star \\ -W_\infty + F + AF + BH_m & -2W_\infty & BJ_m + G - W_\infty G \\ (M_0 + M_\infty)F + (BJ_m + G)' + G'(AF + BH_m) & \star & -4I_p - \text{He}(G'(BJ_m + G)) \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (29)$$

Box I.

Proposition 4.2. *If the local and the non-local conditions (3) and (4) are such that $N_0 = N_\infty = 0$ and $Q_\infty = R_\infty = 0$ and if there exist two symmetric positive definite matrices W_0 and W_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, two matrices J_m in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ and H_m in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, an invertible matrix F in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, satisfying the LMI constraints given in Box I, then there exist positive real numbers $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4$, and vectors $\mathbb{Z}_{1,1}, \mathbb{Z}_{1,2}, \mathbb{Z}_{2,1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2,2}$ in \mathbb{R}^m such that inequalities (18) and (19) and the equality (21) hold with $P_0 = W_0^{-1}, P_\infty = W_\infty^{-1}, K_m = H_m F^{-1}, \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \theta_1 = \theta_2 = 1$, and $L_m = 0_{m,1}$.*

Proof. First, note that pre- and post-multiplying inequality (28) respectively by $\text{Diag}[F_1, P_0, I_p]$ and $\text{Diag}[F_1', P_0, I_p]$, with $F_1' = F^{-1}$, gives the inequality given in Box II.

This inequality, can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{N}_0 + \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ P_0 \\ G' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A + BK_m & -I_n & BJ_m + G \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A' + K_m' B' \\ -I_n \\ J_m' B' + G' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1' & P_0 & G \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

with,

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \star & \star \\ P_0 & 0 & \star \\ M_0 + M_\infty & 0 & -4I_p \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a' & b' & c' \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{N}_0 \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

for all (a, b, c) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + BK_m & -I_n & BJ_m + G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Note that setting the matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{(n+p) \times (2n+p)}$

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ A + BK_m & BJ_m + G \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix},$$

the set of points (a, b, c) in \mathbb{R}^{2n+p} satisfying the constraint (30) is equal to the image of the matrix \mathcal{K}_0 . Consequently, we get

$$\mathcal{K}_0' \mathcal{N}_0 \mathcal{K}_0 < 0.$$

Let ν_1 and ν_2 be such that

$$\mathcal{K}_0' \mathcal{N}_0 \mathcal{K}_0 + \begin{bmatrix} -\nu_1 P_0 + \nu_2 P_\infty & \star \\ 0_{n \times p} & 0_{p \times p} \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

$$\frac{\nu_1 R_0 - \nu_2 R_\infty}{m} < 0.$$

Note that this choice is always possible by taking for instance $\nu_1 = 0$ and ν_2 small. This implies that we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(P_0[A + BK_m]) - \nu_1 P_0 + \nu_2 P_\infty & \star & \star \\ (J_m' B' + G') P_0 + M_0 + M_\infty & -4I_p & \star \\ 0_{n,m} & 0_{p,m} & \frac{\nu_1 R_0 - \nu_2 R_\infty}{m} I_m \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

This matrix inequality is exactly (18) in the particular case where $\mu_1 = \theta_1 = 1, N_0 = N_\infty = 0, Q_\infty = R_\infty = 0$ and with $L_m = 0_{m,1}$. The proof that inequality (19) holds with $\mu_2 = \theta_2 = 1$ follows the same line. Note that, in the particular case where $Q_\infty = R_\infty = 0$, the only constraint we have on the matrices $\mathbb{Z}_{1,1}, \mathbb{Z}_{1,2}, \mathbb{Z}_{2,1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2,2}$ is the equality constraint (21) which has always a solution (for instance $\mathbb{Z}_{1,1} = \mathbb{Z}_{1,2} = \mathbb{Z}_{2,1} = \mathbb{Z}_{2,2} \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}_m$). \square

By employing a change of variables similar to the one introduced in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we can also deal with the case where $N_0 = N_\infty \neq 0$.

The key point of the previous result is that the constraints (28) and (29) are linear in the unknowns W_0 and W_∞ . Consequently, with Proposition 4.1, we are able to give a complete LMI formulation allowing to design a state feedback control law for system (1) making the origin a globally and asymptotically stable equilibrium. This can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *If the local and the non-local conditions (3) and (4) are such that $N_0 = N_\infty = 0, Q_\infty = R_\infty = 0$ and if there exist two symmetric positive definite matrices W_0 and W_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, three matrices J_0, J_∞ and J_m in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ three matrices H_0, H_∞ and H_m in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, an invertible matrix F in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and a real number $\rho > 0$ satisfying the matrix inequality (7), (12) and (26)–(29), then the control law $u = \alpha(x)$ with α defined in (22) makes the origin a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium for system (1).*

Proof. This proof is a direct consequence of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 and Theorem 3.1. \square

5. Numerical examples

To illustrate the proposed procedure and to motivate it, three different examples are presented. The first one is an illustration of the proposed methodology to design a global stabilizing state feedback for a system satisfying Assumptions 1 and 2. The second one exhibits the interest of splitting in two pieces a global sector condition. The third one is an illustration of Theorem 4.3.

5.1. Example 1: Illustration of the methodology

In this section, we illustrate the design procedure by applying Theorem 3.1. Consider system (1) described by the following data:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + G\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (31)$$

with $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, G = I_2, \phi$ is the nonlinear function defined as,

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\lambda(|x|)(N_\infty x + Q_\infty) + (1 - \lambda(|x|))N_0 x],$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(F_1(A + BK_m)) & \star & \star \\ P_0 - F_1' + P_0(A + BK_m) & -2P_0 & P_0(BJ_m + G) - G \\ M_0 + M_\infty + (J_m' B' + G')F_1' + G'(A + BK_m) & \star & -4I_p - \text{He}(G'(BJ_m + G)) \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Box II.




Fig. 3. Illustration of the local controller.




Fig. 4. Illustration of the non-local controller.

with

$$\lambda(s) = \begin{cases} 10^{-3}s, & s \leq v_0 \\ 20s - \frac{10^{-3}v_0}{20}, & s \in \left[v_0, \frac{20 + 10^{-3}v_0}{20^2} \right) \\ 1, & s \in \left[\frac{20 + 10^{-3}v_0}{20^2}, +\infty \right) \end{cases}$$

and Q_∞ , N_∞ and N_0 are the matrices defined as $Q_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}$, $N_\infty = 4I_2$, $N_0 = 0.1I_2$.

It can be checked that this function satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2 with the data $v_0 = 14$ and $v_\infty = 2$, $M_0 = M_\infty = 0$ and $R_\infty = 0$. Applying Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we may compute the controllers $\alpha_0 : x \mapsto K_0x + \eta_0^{-1}J_0\phi(x)$ and $\alpha_\infty : x \mapsto K_\infty x + \eta_\infty^{-1}J_\infty\phi(x) + \tau_\infty L_\infty$ with

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} -5.80 & -1.23 \end{bmatrix}, & J_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0130 & -0.547 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \eta_0 &= 0.445, \\ K_\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} -76.4 & -29 \end{bmatrix}, & J_\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.44 & -2.4 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \eta_\infty &= 0.93, \tau_\infty = 0.0896, & L_\infty &= 0.527 \end{aligned}$$

such that the conclusion of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 holds with

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.13 & 0.144 \\ 0.144 & 1.00 \end{bmatrix}, & R_0 &= 178, \\ P_\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} 46.3 & 15.7 \\ 15.7 & 8.17 \end{bmatrix}, & r_\infty &= 183.8474. \end{aligned}$$

Figs. 3 and 4 show the behavior of the closed-loop system with the local controller and the non-local one obtained respectively from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. Note that with the local controller the basin of attraction is a compact subset and that, with the non-local one, the trajectories do not converge toward the origin (but to another equilibrium).

The covering assumption, namely Assumption 3, is satisfied. This fact is depicted in Fig. 2. Moreover, Theorem 3.1 applies and the controller (22) makes the origin a global asymptotically stable equilibrium with parameter $k = 1$ and the functions c given as:

$$c(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x)B \right|} \max(0, \min((R_0 - x'P_0x) \times (x'P_\infty x - r_\infty), 1)).$$




Fig. 5. The uniting global controller.

Furthermore, V is obtained from [9, Equation (8)] with the parameters $R_0 = 190$ and $r_\infty = 150$. Fig. 5 depicts the state trajectory of the closed-loop system and it can be checked that the solution converges toward the origin with the uniting controller.

5.2. Example 2: Necessity to split in two sector conditions

In this section, we check on an example that it may be necessary to split a sector condition in two sector conditions, and we illustrate the design procedure by applying Theorem 3.1. In this example, system (1) is given with the following data:

$$\dot{x} = Bu + G\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (32)$$

with $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}'$, $G = I_3$. To complete the definition of system (1), it remains to introduce the function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ representing the nonlinearity of the system. First consider both matrices M_∞ in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and N_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ defined as

$$M_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The nonlinear function ϕ is defined as a locally Lipschitz continuous path interpolating M_∞ and N_0 , i.e.⁵:

$$\phi(x) = \lambda(|x|)M_\infty x + (1 - \lambda(|x|))N_0 x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (33)$$

where $\lambda : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing locally Lipschitz function such that⁶:

$$\lambda(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda(s) = 1.$$

We wish to find a controller guaranteeing global asymptotic stabilization of the origin.

5.2.1. Employing a unique global sector condition

A first strategy to address the stabilization problem for system (32) is to check if the solvability conditions inspired by Castelan et al. and Montagner et al. [14,15] are satisfied. To this end, let us first prove that the nonlinear function ϕ satisfies a (global) sector condition⁷:

Proposition 5.1. *The function ϕ defined in (33) satisfies the sector condition:*

$$(\phi(x) - M_\infty x)'(\phi(x) - N_0 x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Proof. Note that we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\phi(x) - M_\infty x]'[\phi(x) - N_0 x] \\ &= [\lambda(|x|)M_\infty x + (1 - \lambda(|x|))N_0 x - M_\infty x]' \\ & \quad + [\lambda(|x|)M_\infty x + (1 - \lambda(|x|))N_0 x - N_0 x], \\ &= (1 - \lambda(|x|))\lambda(|x|)[(N_0 - M_\infty)x]'[(M_\infty - N_0)x], \\ &= -(1 - \lambda(|x|))\lambda(|x|)|(M_\infty - N_0)x|^2, \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.1. \square

Following the computations of (the proof of) Proposition 2.1, we may try to compute a global nonlinear feedback law by solving the following LMI optimization problem:

Proposition 5.2. *If there exist a symmetric positive definite matrices W in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, and two matrices H in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$, J in \mathbb{R} satisfying the LMI:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{He}(M_\infty W + BH) & \star \\ J'B' + I_3 + (N_0 - M_\infty)W & -2I_3 \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

then the control law:

$$u(x) = Kx + J\phi(x), \quad (34)$$

with $K = HW^{-1}$ makes the origin a globally and asymptotically stable equilibrium for system (32).

Using parser YALMIP [20] and LMI solver SeDuMi [18], this problem is found to be unfeasible on this particular instance. This approach is clearly too conservative and cannot be used in this specific case. This motivates us to split the sector condition in two different sector conditions as done in the following section.

5.2.2. Employing the uniting controller approach

Note however that our uniting controller provides another approach to solve this stabilizing problem. First, we show that the function ϕ introduced in (33) satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2.

Proposition 5.3. *Given two positive real numbers $0 < \lambda_\infty < \lambda_0 < 1$, Assumptions 1 and 2 are satisfied with $v_0 = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_0)$, $M_0 = \lambda_0 M_\infty + (1 - \lambda_0)N_0$, $v_\infty = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_\infty)$, and $N_\infty = \lambda_\infty M_\infty + (1 - \lambda_\infty)N_0$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the Proof of Proposition 5.2. First, note that the function λ being strictly increasing, we have:

$$\{x, |x| \leq v_0\} = \{x, \lambda(|x|) \leq \lambda_0\}. \quad (35)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\phi(x) - M_0 x]'[\phi(x) - N_0 x] \\ &= [(\lambda(|x|) - \lambda_0)M_\infty x + (\lambda_0 - \lambda(|x|))N_0 x]' \lambda(|x|) \\ & \quad \times [(M_\infty - N_0)x] = (\lambda_0 - \lambda(|x|))\lambda(|x|)[(N_0 - M_\infty)x]' \\ & \quad \times [(M_\infty - N_0)x], = -(\lambda_0 - \lambda(|x|))\lambda(|x|)|(M_\infty - N_0)x|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, with (35), for all x such that $\{x, |x| \leq v_0\}$, we have

$$[\phi(x) - M_0 x]'[\phi(x) - N_0 x] \leq 0,$$

and Assumption 1 is satisfied. Similarly, we show that Assumption 2 is satisfied. \square

Consequently, we are in the framework of the uniting sector condition. We follow the procedure developed in Sections 3 and 4.1, and we apply Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 4.1. Therefore we have to check if the LMIs (7), (12), (26) and (27) have a solution in W_0 , W_∞ , and ρ (among others variables), and we get that Assumption 3 holds for $P_0 = W_0^{-1}$, $P_\infty = W_\infty^{-1}$, and $R_0 = r_\infty = \frac{1}{\rho}$.

Choosing $\lambda_0 = 0.6$ and $\lambda_\infty = 0.4$, and assuming that λ is a continuous function such that:

$$v_0 = \lambda^{-1}(0.6) = 10, \quad v_\infty = \lambda^{-1}(0.4) = 1.5,$$

we get the following solutions

$$P_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.36 & 0.78 & 0.68 \\ 0.78 & 3.5 & 2.7 \\ 0.68 & 2.7 & 2.7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 0.41 & 0.86 & 0.83 \\ 0.86 & 3.8 & 3.0 \\ 0.82 & 3.0 & 3.7 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\rho^{-1} = R_0 = r_\infty = 16$ of the LMIs (7), (12), (26) and (27). The fact that the covering Assumption is satisfied for the matrix P_0 and P_∞ with the positive real number $v_0 = 10$ and $v_\infty = 1.5$ is guaranteed by Proposition 4.1.

Hence, we are in the context of Theorem 3.1 and we can check that, for the two previous matrices P_0 and P_∞ , there exist two matrices J_m , K_m and four scalars μ_i satisfying the sufficient conditions (18) and (19). This is indeed the case with

$$J_m = [-1.0 \quad -5.6 \quad -5.3], \quad K_m = [1.0 \quad -0.79 \quad -0.70].$$

Consequently, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds and we get that the control law (22) makes the origin a globally and asymptotic equilibrium for the system (32).

5.3. Example 3: Design of a stabilizing controller in one step

In this section, we apply Theorem 4.3 and we design a stabilizing controller in one step. To this end, let us consider now a second order system defined as:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 + x_1 g_1(x), \\ \dot{x}_2 = u + x_2 g_2(x), \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

⁵ This is typically the case when a system is modeled as an interpolation of several linear systems.

⁶ For instance λ can be defined by $\lambda(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(s)$ for all $s \geq 0$.

⁷ Note that the Proof of Proposition 5.1 does not use the expression of the system (1) but only properties of the nonlinearity ϕ as introduced in (33).

where the functions g_1 and g_2 are any continuous functions such that:

$$g_1(x) \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq v_0 \\ \leq 2 & \text{if } |x| \geq v_0, \end{cases} \quad g_2(x) \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq v_\infty \\ \leq 0.5 & \text{if } |x| \geq v_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Note that this system can be written in the form (1) with $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $G = L = I_2$, and with the function $\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 g_1(x) \\ x_2 g_2(x) \end{bmatrix}$. The function $\phi(x)$ satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2 with $N_0 = N_\infty = 0$, $M_0 = I_2$, $M_\infty = \text{Diag}[2, 0.5]$.

Hence, we are in the context of Theorem 4.3. Employing YALMIP [20] with the solver SEDUMI [18], we check the solvability of LMIs (7), (12) and (26)–(29). These LMIs are solvable with:

$$W_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 & -1.1 \\ -1.1 & 4.3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 0.36 & -0.86 \\ -0.86 & 3.4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$J_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.1 & 3.3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$J_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} -1.7 & 0.68 \end{bmatrix}, \quad J_m = \begin{bmatrix} -1.3 & 0.021 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -2.1 & -6.0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} -1.2 & -3.4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_m = \begin{bmatrix} 0.85 & -3.7 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0.73 & -0.44 \\ -0.95 & 1.2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and finally $\rho = 0.068$. Consequently, the conclusion of Theorem 4.3 holds and we obtain a control law making the origin a globally and asymptotic stable equilibrium for the system (36).

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced the synthesis problem of a nonlinear feedback law for a class of control systems. The control systems under consideration are those with a nonlinearity satisfying a sector condition when the state is close to the equilibrium and a (maybe) different sector condition when the state is distant from the equilibrium. We noted that encompassing both sector conditions into a unique global one may lead to a too conservative synthesis problem. This motivates us to consider both properties of the nonlinearities separately and to design successively (1) a local asymptotic stabilizing nonlinear controller whose basin of attraction contains some compact set and (2) a non-local controller which makes the previous compact set globally attractive. Then, we compute a nonlinear controller which pieces together the local controller with the non-local one, and we obtain a global asymptotic stabilizing controller. We emphasize that the sufficient conditions to solve this design problem are written in terms of LMIs. Three numerical examples motivate and illustrate this approach.

References

References

- [1] H. Khalil, *Nonlinear Systems*, third ed. Prentice-Hall, 2002.
- [2] S. Tarbouriech, G. Garcia, A. Glattfelder, Advanced strategies in control systems with input and output constraints, in: LNCS, vol. 346, Springer Verlag, 2007.
- [3] T. Hu, A. Teel, L. Zaccarian, Stability and performance for saturated systems via quadratic and nonquadratic Lyapunov functions, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 51 (11) (2006) 1770–1786.
- [4] T. Hu, Z. Lin, *Control Systems with Actuator Saturation: Analysis and Design*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [5] C. Prieur, Uniting local and global controllers with robustness to vanishing noise, *Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems* 14 (2) (2001) 143–172.
- [6] C. Prieur, A. Teel, 2008, Uniting a high performance, local controller with a global controller: The output feedback case for linear systems with input saturation, in: Proc. of American Control Conference (ACC'08), Seattle, WA, pp. 2901–2908.
- [7] C. Prieur, E. Trélat, Quasi-optimal robust stabilization of control systems, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 45 (5) (2006) 1875–1897.
- [8] A.R. Teel, N. Kapoor, 1997, Uniting local and global controllers, in: European Control Conference (ECC'97), Vol. 172, Brussels, Belgium.
- [9] V. Andrieu, C. Prieur, Uniting two control Lyapunov functions for affine Systems, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 55 (8) (2010) 1923–1927.
- [10] Z. Arstein, Stabilization with relaxed controls, *Nonlinear Analysis* 7 (11) (1983) 1163–1173.
- [11] M. Arcak, M. Larsen, P. Kokotović, Circle and Popov criteria as tools for nonlinear feedback design, *Automatica* 39 (4) (2003) 643–650.
- [12] J.M. Gomes da Silva Jr., S. Tarbouriech, Anti-windup design with guaranteed regions of stability: An LMI-based approach, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 50 (2005) 106–111.
- [13] S. Tarbouriech, C. Prieur, J.M. Gomes da Silva Jr., Stability analysis and stabilization of systems presenting nested saturations, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 51 (8) (2006) 1364–1371.
- [14] E.B. Castelan, S. Tarbouriech, I. Queinnec, Control design for a class of nonlinear continuous-time systems, *Automatica* 44 (8) (2008) 2034–2039.
- [15] V. Montagner, R. Oliveira, T. Calliero, R. Borges, P. Peres, C. Prieur, Robust absolute stability and nonlinear state feedback stabilization based on polynomial Lur'e functions, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* 70 (2009) 1803–1812.
- [16] V. Andrieu, L. Praly, A. Astolfi, Homogeneous approximation, recursive observer design and output feedback, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 47 (4) (2008) 1814–1850.
- [17] V. Andrieu, C. Prieur, S. Tarbouriech, D. Arzelier, 2009, Synthesis of a global asymptotic stabilizing feedback law for a system satisfying two different sector conditions, in: Proc. of the 48th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Shanghai, China, pp. 4228–4233.
- [18] J. Sturm, 1999, Using SeDuMi 1.02, a MATLAB toolbox for optimization over symmetric cones. *Optimization Methods and Software* 11–12, 625–653, <http://sedumi.mcmaster.ca/>.
- [19] R. Skelton, T. Iwazaki, K. Grigoriadis, *A unified approach to linear control design*, Taylor and Francis Series in Systems and Control (1998).
- [20] J. Löfberg, 2004, Yalmip: A toolbox for modeling and optimization in MATLAB, in: Proc. of the CACSD Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, <http://control.ee.ethz.ch/~joloef/yalmip.php>.